The second secon 1 14 MAY 27 AM 10: 54 2 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 ar all DEPUTY 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 **GUSTAVO RUBIO**. Civil No. 14-CV-0907-BEN (NLS) Reg. No. A205587273, 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER DISMISSING CIVIL ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE 14 VS. ING FEES REQUIRED 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) AND/OR 15 LING TO MOVE TO 16 PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* SIX UNKNOWN NAMES AGENTS: PURSUANT TO BARACK OBAMA, 17 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiff, currently detained at the Etowah County Jail in Gadsden, Alabama, and 20 proceeding pro se, filed an incomprehensible one-page complaint entitled "Civil Rights 21 Action with the Writ of Summons and Complaint" "under 42 U.S.C. § 1983" on April 22 15, 2014. (ECF Doc. No. 1). 23 FAILURE TO PAY FILING FEE OR REQUEST IFP STATUS 24 All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in any district court of 25 the United States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee 26 /// 27 28 ///

of \$400. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a party's failure to pay this filing fee only if the party is granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). See Andrews v. Cervantes, 493 F.3d 1047, 1051 (9th Cir. 2007); Rodriguez v. Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999).

Plaintiff has not prepaid the \$400 in filing and administrative fees required to commence a civil action, nor has he submitted a Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Therefore, the case cannot yet proceed. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); Andrews, 493 F.3d at 1051.

## II. CONCLUSION AND ORDER

For the reasons set forth above, the Court hereby:

- (1) **DISMISSES** this action sua sponte without prejudice for failing to pay the \$400 civil filing and administrative fee or submit a Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a) and § 1915(a); and
- (2) GRANTS Plaintiff forty five (45) days leave from the date this Order is filed to: (a) prepay the entire \$400 civil filing and administrative fee in full; or (b) complete and file a Motion to Proceed IFP which includes a certified copy of his trust account statement for the 6-month period preceding the filing of his Complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2); S.D. CAL. CIVLR 3.2(b).<sup>2</sup>

-2-

In addition to the \$350 statutory fee, all parties filing civil actions on or after May 1, 2013, must pay an additional administrative fee of \$50. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), (b); Judicial Conference Schedule of Fees, District Court Misc. Fee Schedule, eff. May 1, 2013. However, the additional \$50 administrative fee is waived if the plaintiff is granted leave to proceed IFP. *Id.* 

Plaintiff is cautioned that if he chooses to proceed further with this action either by paying the full \$400 in civil and administrative fees required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), or moving to proceed IFP, his Complaint, which is currently comprised of a single page of unpunctuated and nonsensical legal jargon seeking the issuance of a "writ of attachment garnishment" for "five million ... plus one hundred million dollars" against unidentified "agents" and the President of the United States, will be screened and immediately dismissed as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b). See Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1126-27 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (noting that 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) "not only permits but requires" the court to sua sponte dismiss an in forma pauperis complaint that fails to state a claim); see also Rhodes v. Robinson, 621 F.3d 1002, 1004 (9th Cir. 2010) (discussing sua sponte screening required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b) of all prisoner complaints).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall provide Plaintiff with this Court's approved form "Motion and Declaration in Support of Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis." If Plaintiff fails to either prepay the \$400 civil filing fee or complete and submit the enclosed Motion to Proceed IFP within that time, this action shall remain dismissed without prejudice and without further Order of the Court. DATED: United States District Judge